Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/871,610	MCGALL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) BJ Forman.	(3)	
(2) <u>Lisa Treannie</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 August 2005</u>	Time:	
	icant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1		
Prior art documents discussed:	·	
v .		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	he examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance ne interview. since the interview
	•	
BI FORMAN THE		
BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER		
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Ms Treannie that the amendments are not commensurate in scope with the unexpected results asserted in the specification and Declaration. The examiner stated that the missing elements are 1) a synthesis chamber, 2) corner pointing downward, 3) rotation after each synthesis step and 4) rotation of multiples of 90 degrees e.g. 90, 180, 270. Ms Treannie stated that she would discuss the issued with Applicant.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER